

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
WACO DIVISION**

<p>PARKERVISION, INC.,</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Plaintiff,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">v.</p> <p>INTEL CORPORATION,</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Defendant.</p>	<p>Civil Action No. 6:20-cv-00108-ADA</p> <p>JURY TRIAL DEMANDED</p>
--	--

NOTICE REGARDING AGREED AMENDMENT TO SCHEDULING ORDER

Pursuant to the Court’s Amended Standing Order Regarding Joint or Unopposed Request to Change Deadlines dated March 7, 2022, Defendant Intel Corporation (“Intel”) hereby provides notice that the parties have agreed to amend the August 1, 2022 Amended Scheduling Order DE 150, and the November 1, 2022 Agreed Amendment thereto, DE 188, as follows:

Dates	Event 108 Case – First Trial ¹ Accused cellular products (6,580,902; 9,246,736; 9,118,528; 8,588,725; 9,444,673; and 7,539,474)
-------	---

¹ The parties have agreed and hereby stipulate to the following regarding claims and defenses at the Second Trial. Subject to the Court’s rulings on claim narrowing, ParkerVision may assert any claims that have been preserved in its Final Infringement Contentions and expert reports for the Second Trial, provided that any such claim was not invalidated at the First Trial. For any claim that ParkerVision asserted at the First Trial, Intel may assert as part of a § 102 or § 103 invalidity argument any prior art reference disclosed in its Invalidity Contentions and expert reports for the Second Trial, that was not used as a § 102 or § 103 reference at the First Trial, and present any theory that a claim term is indefinite, not enabled, or lacks written description under § 112 unless that identical theory was presented at the First Trial with respect to that claim and the claim was not determined to be invalid in a final judgment. For any claim that ParkerVision did not assert at the First Trial, Intel may present any invalidity theory preserved in its Final Invalidity Contentions and expert reports for the Second Trial. For the avoidance of doubt, Intel shall not be precluded from offering at the Second Trial any evidence relating to prior independent development, motivation to combine, and/or the background state of the art, even if similar, or identical evidence was presented at the First Trial.

12/22/2022	<p>Defendant serves objections and responses to Plaintiff's proposed revisions to the proposed joint pretrial order, jury instructions, proposed voir dire, and proposed verdict form. Defendant also serves counter discovery and deposition designations and any rebuttal exhibits.</p> <p>Plaintiff serves objections to Defendant's counter discovery and deposition designations and any rebuttal exhibits.</p> <p>Parties File Motions in Limine.²</p>
1/13/2023	<p>Plaintiff Files Joint Pretrial Order and Pretrial Submissions (jury instructions, exhibits lists, witness lists, discovery and deposition designations, voir dire³).</p> <p>Parties File Responses to Motions in Limine.</p>
1/17/2023	<p>File Notice of Request for Daily Transcript or Real Time Reporting. If a daily transcript or real time reporting of court proceedings is requested for trial, the party or parties making said request shall file a notice with the Court and e mail the Court Reporter, Kristie Davis at kmdaviscsr@yahoo.com</p> <p>Deadline to meet and confer regarding remaining objections and disputes on motions in limine.</p>
1/18/2023	Parties File Replies in Support of Motions in Limine
1/20/2023	File joint notice identifying remaining objections to pretrial disclosures and disputes on motions in limine.
1/27/2023	Final Pretrial Conference.
2/6/2023	Jury Selection/Trial.

² The parties agree that they will not serve discovery or deposition counter-counter designations.

³ See Amended Standing Order on Pretrial Procedures and Requirements in Civil Cases, ¶ C.3.a (Sept. 16, 2022).

Dated: December 22, 2022

Michael J. Summersgill (*pro hac vice*)
Sarah B. Petty (*pro hac vice*)
Harry Hanson (*pro hac vice*)
Samuel C. Leifer (*pro hac vice*)
Alicia Coneys (*pro hac vice*)
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
60 State Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02109
Tel: (617) 526-6000
michael.summersgill@wilmerhale.com
sarah.petty@wilmerhale.com
harry.hanson@wilmerhale.com
samuel.leifer@wilmerhale.com
alicia.coneys@wilmerhale.com

Todd Zubler (*pro hac vice*)
Isley M. Gostin (*pro hac vice*)
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20006
Tel: (202) 663-6000
todd.zubler@wilmerhale.com
isley.gostin@wilmerhale.com

Mary (Mindy) V. Sooter (*pro hac vice*)
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
1225 17th Street, Suite 2600
Denver, Colorado 80202
Tel: (720) 274-3135
mindy.sooter@wilmerhale.com

Robert J. Gunther Jr. (*pro hac vice*)
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP
7 World Trade Center
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
Tel: (212) 230-8830
robert.gunther@wilmerhale.com

Jason F. Choy (*pro hac vice*)
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND
DORR LLP
350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2100
Los Angeles, California 90071
Tel: (213) 443-5300
jason.choy@wilmerhale.com

/s/ J. Stephen Ravel
J. Stephen Ravel
Texas State Bar No. 16584975
KELLY HART & HALLMAN LLP
303 Colorado, Suite 2000
Austin, Texas 78701
Tel: (512) 495-6429
steve.ravel@kellyhart.com

James E. Wren
Texas State Bar No. 22018200
One Bear Place, Unit 97288
Waco, Texas 76798
Tel: (254) 710-7670
james.wren@baylor.edu

Harry L. Gillam, Jr.
Texas State Bar No. 07921800
GILLAM & SMITH, L.L.P.
303 South Washington Avenue
Marshall, Texas 75670
Tel.: (903) 934-8450
Fax: (903) 934-9257
gil@gillamsmithlaw.com

***Attorneys for Defendant
Intel Corporation***

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been served on December 22, 2022, to all counsel of record who are deemed to have consented to electronic service via the Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ J. Stephen Ravel

J. Stephen Ravel